

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/661,434 | RAMEY ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Shane Bomar | 3672 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: Amended

 (1) Shane Bomar.

(3) _____.

 (2) Dean Domingue.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 November 2005
Time: 8:45am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 None

Claims discussed:
 25

Prior art documents discussed:
 None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The amendment filed on 11/14/2005 placed the application in condition for allowance, except that it was noted that the use of "bushing" and "spider" in the preamble was not proper since the body of the claim only refers to a bowl. It was agreed during the telephone conversation to remove those two words via an Examiner's Amendment.